2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Sitka Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 02:24:17 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Agriculture 2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Sitka Resource Advisory Committee 70436

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 06/21/2016 06/21/2018

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?
Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

13. 14.

12. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Committee

Presidential?

Date Type

The SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as

reauthorized in PL 110-343 and PL 112-141.

10/03/2008Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$10,485.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$1,500.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$11,985.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year as needed to perform its duties, or as often as needed. RAC met twice in 2017. RAC did not meet in 2018.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The RAC is necessary to improve collaborative relationships among the people that use and care for the National Forests and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service concerning projects and funding consistent with Title II of the Act.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? All meetings are open to the public.

21. Remarks

No RAC meetings in FY2018.

Designated Federal Officer

J. Perry Edwards District Ranger, Sitka Ranger District

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Anderson, Blain	04/20/2016	04/20/2020	Owner - Sound Sailing; Recreationalist	Representative Member
Harris, Scott	12/21/2010	12/21/2018	Conservation Sollutions Coordinator	Representative Member
Kreiss-Tomkins, Jonathan	03/10/2016	03/10/2020	State Legislator	Representative Member
Lucas, Karen	03/10/2016	03/10/2020	Secondary Teacher – Port Alexander Historical Society & Museum and Cape Decision Lighthouse (non-profit)	Representative Member
Martin, Jon	03/10/2016	03/10/2020	Retired - Recreation, Lands, Minerals, Heritage, and Wilderness Staff Officer, U.S. Forest Service; Recreationalist	Representative Member
Miyasato, Jr., Chester	03/10/2016	03/10/2020	Tribal Member	Representative Member
Paustian, Steve	03/10/2016	03/10/2020	Retired - Hydrologist, U.S. Forest Service	Representative Member
Wileman, James	06/01/2010	06/01/2018	CEO - Alps Credit Union 1	Representative Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 8

Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if Applies

	Officonca ii Applico
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	
Effective grant making	
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	✓
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

Recreation improvements, access improvements, hunting and wildlife habitat improvement, watershed improvement.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

	Checked if Applies
None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

Not Applicable

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

32

Number of Recommendations Comments

0 new projects proposed in 2018.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

41%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

13 recommendations are completed.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

56%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

19 recommendations are in progress.

Does the	agency	provide the	committee	with fee	dback rega	ırding a	ctions tak	en to
-		nmendations		offered?				
Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Not Applic	able					
Agency Fo	eedbad	ck Comment	S					
The Forest	t Servic	e (FS) Desig	nated Federa	al Official	regularly re	eports to	the comm	nittee on
whether re	comme	ended project	s proposals	are or are	e not approv	ed by th	ne FS. The	DFO
also report	ts on a	ctions taken to	o implement	approved	d projects. T	his may	take the f	orm of
verbal repo	orts and	d presentation	ns at commit	tee meeti	ngs, comm	ittee fiel	d trips and	I
monitoring	activiti	es.						
What other	er actio	ons has the a	ıgency takeı	n as a res	sult of the	commit	tee's advi	ce or
recomme	ndatio	າ?						
							Checked i	f Applies
Reorganiz	ed Prio	rities						✓
Reallocate	d reso	urces						✓
Issued nev	w regula	ation						
Proposed I	legislat	ion						
Approved (grants	or other paym	nents					✓
Other								
Action Co	mmen	ts						
Not Applica	able							
Is the Con	nmitte	e engaged in	the review	of applic	ations for	grants?	•	
Yes								
What is the	e estim	ated Numbe r	r of grants re	eviewed fo	or approval			0
What is the	e estim	ated Number	r of grants re	commend	ded for app	roval		0
What is the	e estim	ated Dollar V	<u>'alue</u> of gran	nts recomi	mended for	approva	al	\$0
O D	.: O.							

Grant Review Comments

The Forest Service reviews RAC recommended projects and, if approved, incorporates the projects into its program of work. RAC project proposals are often, but not always, in the form of grant requests. Previously in 2017, 6 grants were involved from our projects stemming from 2017. The committee did not recommend any new grants for approval, since there were 0 meetings held in 2018. We did have approximately \$20,000 in Indian River Trail Improvement Project and \$33,200 in a cooperative agreement to the City of

Port Alexander.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	✓
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	✓
Other	

Access Comments

Not applicable.